

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are currently pending in the application. It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner has allowed Claims 6-10, and found allowable subject matter in Claims 2-5.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Emilsson et al.* (U.S. 6,498,788 B1) in view of *Whalen et al.* (U.S. 5,948,066), and further in view of *Nunally* (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0059481 A1).

The Examiner asserted that the multimedia service processor in the present application is similar to the service function in *Emilsson et al.* However, the multimedia service processor in the present application sends a request for the multimedia data to the server, outputs received multimedia data to the multimedia service processor, and classifies the received multimedia data based on the type of data.

However, in *Emilsson et al.*, the service function is linked to the access function of the radio modem via the control channel. Therefore, the service function sends to the server the multimedia signal according to the user's request through the access function of the radio mode, after passing through the control channel. And then, the service function receives the multimedia data, previously classified, through the data channel and outputs the received multimedia data to the multimedia application. As such, the service function in *Emilsson et al.* does not perform the analysis and the classification operation of the received multimedia data performed at the multimedia service processor, as in the present application.

It is respectfully submitted that the features of the multimedia service processor in the present application are clearly different from these of the service function in *Emilsson et al.*

The main controller in the present application communicates with the wireless terminals and controls the overall operation for providing a multimedia service. Also, the main controller receives information generated by the multimedia service request, and outputs corresponding information of a

received multimedia service to the multimedia service processor. If it is determined that multimedia information is received by characters or menu information based on the information analyzed by the multimedia service processor, the main controller receives characters or menu information, and outputs information through an image processor, a driver and a display. Also, the main controller controls a call connection operation to the base station according to an access request to a server corresponding to a user desired multimedia service.

However, it is respectfully submitted that Emilsson et al. does not disclose communication with a wireless terminal and a call connection operation to a base station as stated in the present application.

Therefore, the main controller of the present application performs additional operations other than the control operation for the multimedia service mentioned in Emilsson et al. Thus the present application is clearly distinguished from the Emilsson et al.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection of Claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claim 1 is believed to be in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-10, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516